

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,511	10/03/2000	Elliot Omiya	MSFT-0231/160306.1	5346
41505	7590 11/10/2004		EXAM	INER
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			STEELMAN, MARY J	
ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103		OCK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2122	<u>-, </u>

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s) OMIYA ET AL.	
09/678,511		
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mary J. Steelman	2122	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) Mark The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-50</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive. For example, in the remarks, the applicant argues that "Claim 9 - selecting a custom code module from a plurality of code modules, where each code module corresponds to a variable action". Examiner's Response: As previously pointed out in the Final Rejection (Mailed on 7/30/04) and maintained by the Examiner, Timbol teaches selecting a custom code module from a plurality of custom code modules, each of said custom code modules comprising one or more executable components, each of said executable components corresponding to one of said variable actions (the user / designer relates event handler code to a specific component, (col. 15, lines 23-24) "user selects the events he or she wants the bean capable of firing...",(col. 4, line 42) "by using the visual designers to manage the bean's properties...". Timbol disclosed, col. 16, lines 64-67, "if the user wants the component to respond in some way to such an event, the user simply writes the code that responds within the body of the KeyPressed() method" Thus Timbol selects a custom code module...comprising one or more executable components by customizing the code within the body of the KeyPressed() method according to the variable action specified by the user/designer; and selecting the KeyPressed() event.).

TUAN DAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER